



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/282,764	03/31/1999	JAY PONTE	GTE-99-808	9068
32127 7	7590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.			. CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
C/O CHRISTI	AN R. ANDERSEN			
600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAILCODE HQEO3H14			3622	
IRVING, TX				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. **Advisory Action** PONTE ET AL 09/282.764

Defere the Fillian of an Annual Dulat	00/202,104	L SITTLE ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Donald L. Champagne	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a)					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ly reduce any		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		·	•		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);			
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.					
because:					
The action mailed on 27 June 2005 should have been conthere was any question. Applicant's reasoning for assumption checkmark on a cover sheet cannot reasonably be conscommon reason. Applicant's arguments in the 29 Augustion	ming that the Office action was nor strued as overriding the text explain ast 2005 reply clearly would require	n-final is not compelling that the action is to more than nominal fundamental	ng. One final for a urther		
consideration, which is inappropriate after final. Applica	ant may have the arguments entere	ed and considered by	filing an RCE		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)			
The state of the s					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.
Donald L. Champagne
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3622

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050914